

COCCIDIOSIS IN DAIRY HEIFERS: LONG-TERM DISEASE EFFECTS

NUMEROUS factors impact on the epidemiology of disease on farms. On arrival at a farm, a straw chopper with a damaged wheel was precariously balanced on a pile of rubble (Figure 1). It may seem an amusing sight, but it could indicate a lackadaisical approach to management.

Think of the consequences of this breakdown – the cost may not simply be replacement of the wheel; it may result in an outbreak of environmental mastitis in

STEVE BORSBERRY

BVSc, DBR, ECBHM, CertCHP, MRCVS

considers the various contributing factors of coccidiosis in dairy cows, followed by methods of prevention and treatment to adopt on farm

groups of animals such as the dry cows, due to the lack of clean bedding.

Similarly, on premises where unhung gates are tied up with string, I have found suggested veterinary protocols are not always adhered to. This needs to be borne in mind when the timing of metaphylactic anticoccidials is important in preventing disease.

I still find it difficult to dispel the myth that the only costs of disease are veterinary medicines and mortality; however, decreased daily live weight gains (DLWG) in a group of animals will have a catastrophic effect on farm profitability.

Planned heifer rearing is the starting point for profitable dairying. The semen

costs for achieving a heifer pregnancy are considerable.

On a farm using conventional semen (£15/straw) with a conception rate of 40 per cent, it takes 2.5 straws per pregnancy and approximately five straws to achieve a heifer pregnancy. A heifer born has cost £75 in semen. The heifer is an investment for the future and needs to be viewed as such.

Housing

With herds expanding, improvements to cow housing and management have, and are, taking place, but similar improvements with youngstock have not kept pace, leading to cases of overcrowding.

It is a mistake to think coc-

cidiosis only affects calves reared in groups (Figure 2); it can occur in calves housed singly in hutches (Figure 3).

Subclinical disease and DLWG

Clinical signs of disease in a group of calves are often the tip of the iceberg, with the majority of the group probably affected by subclinical disease.

Pathogenic coccidia invade and destroy the lining of the gut, which reduces nutrient absorption, leading to reduced DLWG and feed efficiency. The effect on the gut lining can reduce lifetime performance.

Jim Quigley at Provim's animal health conference in Barcelona quoted from published work of Jud Heinrichs. On a US study of 795 calves from 21 dairy units, from birth to four months, the results showed for every day a calf was sick, with scouring or respiratory infection, its first lactation milk yield dropped by 126kg.

Table 1 illustrates the suggested target bodyweights for Holstein-Friesians to enable them to calve at approximately 24 months of age. To achieve this, an average DLWG of 0.7kg/day is required. A study at the RVC found mean age at first calving was 26 months, but the range was 21 months to 51 months.

Table 2 illustrates heifers calving between 23 months and 25 months, compared to those calving greater than 30 months, had a greater milk yield (kg) per day of life (11.8 versus 9.0) and 70 per cent reached parity three compared to 50 per cent. The effect on calving interval was significant in the first and second



Figure 1. The straw chopper with the damaged wheel.

lactations. Coccidiosis and the resultant reduction in DLWG can affect the future fertility of replacement heifers.

The mortality rate in animals with coccidiosis is approximately one per cent and high morbidity. With subclinical disease the intestinal damage can lead to susceptibility of other diseases – for example, pneumonia – and the greater the number of diseases, the greater negative effect on DLWG.

Field trials have shown 61 per cent of the economic loss in calves caused by coccidia is due to the non-clinical cases (Agneessens et al, 2005). A further study (Veronesi et al, 2013) looked at the

effects of metaphylactic treatment of subclinical coccidiosis using toltrazuril compared to non-treated controls.

Treated heifers received their first service 24 days earlier than the non-treated controls. Treated heifers also had better conception rates (CR) to first service compared to the non-treated group (60 per cent versus 45 per cent). The effect on second and subsequent services was similar with the treated heifers a CR of 75 per cent compared to the non-treated controls 45 per cent.

The overall pregnancy rate was 95 per cent for the treated heifers compared to 85 per cent for the non-treated controls. These effects are often "accepted" by the non-seasonal calving herds. If this occurred in a seasonal calving herd, where the breeding season is short (approximately 10 to 12 weeks), a significant number of heifers would be barren and those that became pregnant would calve later in the calving period and thus have less opportunity to become pregnant for subsequent seasons.

One effect of disease that is often ignored is that on staff morale. Time taken to treat clinical cases is rewarded by the calf surviving (mortality tends to be low – less than one per cent). However, batches of calves that are not thriving are a daily reminder all is not well.

Prevention and treatment

Metaphylactic treatments are recommended. There is

Table 1. Suggested target bodyweights for Holstein-Friesians

Age (months)	Target weight (kg)
Birth	36-40
3	55-60
4	95-110
6	180-200
15	400-420
22-24	550-625*

*85-90 per cent mature bodyweight



Companion Animal Veterinary Dental Sealant

- Seals the gingival sulcus against the formation of plaque[†]
- Designed to allow water and oxygen to pass through[†]
- Clinically proven to be effective
- One easy professional application every six months
- Extends the benefits of a professional dental cleaning
- Use in conjunction with a six month wellness program to promote better overall health
- Apply at spay or neuter to help the client get started on the path to wellness

[†]C. Sitzman, Evaluation of a hydrophilic gingival dental sealant in beagle dogs. *J Vet Dent* 2013; 30 (3): 150-155.



Email: sanos@allaccem.com



www.allaccem.com



Figure 2. A calf in a group exhibiting clinical signs of coccidiosis.

